ORIGINAL PAPER

Reduced Fluoresceinamine for Peroxynitrite Quantification in the Presence of Nitric Oxide

Eliana F. C. Simões · João M. M. Leitão · Joaquim C. G. Esteves da Silva

Received: 3 November 2011 / Accepted: 19 March 2012 / Published online: 3 April 2012 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2012

Abstract A new fluorescent analytical methodology for the quantification of peroxynitrite (ONOO) in the presence of nitric oxide (NO) was developed. The quantification of ONOOis based in the oxidation of the non-fluorescent reduced fluoresceinamine to a high fluorescent oxidized fluoresceinamine in reaction conditions where the interference of NO is minimized. Screening factorial experimental designs and optimization Box-Behnken experimental design methodologies were used in order to optimize the detection of ONOO⁻ in the presence of NO. The factors analysed were: reduced fluoresceinamine concentration (C_{Fl}) ; cobalt chloride concentration (C_{CoCl2}) ; presence of oxygen (O_2) ; and, the pH (pH). The concentration of sodium hydroxide (C_{NaOH}) needed to diluted the initially solution of ONOO⁻ was also evaluated. An optimum region for ONOO⁻ quantification where the influence of NO is minimal was identified - C_{Fl} from 0.50 to 1.56 mM, C_{CoCl2} from 0 to 1.252×10^{-2} M, pH from 6 to 8 and C_{NaOH} 0.10 M. Better results were found in the presence of NO at pH 7.4, C_{Fl} 0.5 mM, without oxygen, without cobalt chloride and with a previous dilution of peroxynitrite solution with C_{NaOH} 0.1 M. This methodology shows a linear range from 0.25 to 40 μ M with a limit of detection of 0.08 μ M. The bioanalytical methodology was successfully applied in the ONOO⁻ quantification of fortified serum and macrophage samples.

J. C. G. E. da Silva (🖂)

Centro de Investigação em Química (CIQ-UP), Departamento de Química, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto, R. Campo Alegre 687, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal e-mail: jcsilva@fc.up.pt **Keywords** Peroxynitrite · Nitric oxide · Reduced fluoresceinamine · Experimental design · Serum · Macrophage samples

Introduction

Peroxynitrite anion (ONOO⁻) and nitric oxide (NO) are the principal reactive nitrogen species (RNS). Like other reactive oxygen and nitrogen species the ONOO⁻ is involved in several physiological or pathological processes [1]. Also due to is reactivity, short half-life, limited diffusion, lower concentration, rapid diffusion, antioxidant mechanisms, participation in several oxidation and nitration reactions and possible interferences is quantification is not straightforward [1-6]. The ONOO⁻ anion is in equilibrium with is conjugated acid the peroxynitrous acid (ONOOH, pK_a 6.8). At a pH values lower than 7.4 the ONOO⁻ anion is rapidly converted by protonation in ONOOH with posterior decomposition to nitrate, but it is relatively stable in basic solution. The ONOO⁻ anion is a strong oxidizing and nitrating agent and it oxidant capacity depends of the chemical composition of the medium. In a biological medium the ONOO⁻ is mainly formed by the reaction of the superoxide radical (O_2^{-}) with the NO generated by the nitric oxide synthase (NOS) [2-4].

The ONOO⁻ detection is done mainly through the nitration of tyrosine and posterior detection of the 3-nitrotyrosine by immunochemical or chromatographic techniques [3, 5–7] or through the oxidation of fluorescent probes as the 2,7-dichlorodihydrofluorescein and dihydrorhodamine 123 [3, 6, 8, 9] or chemiluminescent probes as the luminol and coelenterazine [3, 6]. Other fluorescent probes based in the same principle and also used in is detection are fluorescein [10–14], rhodamine [15] or boron-dipyrromethene (BODIPY) [16, 17] derivatives. Recently the ONOO⁻ detection was done

<sup>E. F. C. Simões J. M. M. Leitão
Centro de Investigação em Química (CIQ-UP),
Faculdade de Farmácia da Universidade de Coimbra,
Pólo das Ciências da Saúde,
3000-548 Coimbra, Portugal</sup>

by HPLC-UV and GC-MS [18]. Beside these methodologies the detection of ONOO⁻ was also done by electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) [3], amperometry [19, 20] and electrophoresis [21, 22]. Of the fluorescent probes indicated for the ONOO⁻ detection only the fluorescein hydrazide [10] were used for is quantification. Beside this method other fluorescence methods for ONOO⁻ quantification are based in the oxidation of folic acid [23] or L-tyrosine [24] or NADH fluorescence quenching [25].

The reduced fluoresceinamine has been recently proposed as a NO fluorescent probe [26]. In this report the selectivity of reduced fluoresceinamine to the hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) , O_2^- , nitrite and several other inorganic substances were assessed. Posterior evaluations shows that could also been used as an ONOO⁻ probe. As for other selected ONOO⁻ oxidizable fluorescent probes the reduced fluoresceinamine should be readily oxidized by ONOO⁻ but not by the NO or O_2^{-1} [3]. In this paper the possibility of ONOO⁻ quantification by the reduced fluoresceinamine in the presence of NO was evaluated. Response surface experimental design methodologies were used in order to establish the more adequate conditions to the ONOO⁻ quantification by reduced fluoresceinamine in the presence of NO. The optimized methodology for ONOO quantification was used in serum and macrophage samples analysis.

Experimental

Reagents

Fluoresceinamine (5 and 6 isomers mixture, \geq 90 %), hydrogen peroxide (30 %), sodium nitrite and zinc powder were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Química S.A. (Spain). Sodium hydroxide, hydrogen chloride, cobalt (II) chloride, tris (hydroxymethyl)-aminomethan-hydrochlorid and trisodium citrate were purchased from Merck, Darmstadt (Germany). Mili-Q water with resistivity of 18.2 MΩ/cm at 25 °C was used in all experiments. The blood macrophages cells from murine mouse (RAW 264.7 cell line murine) were also obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Química S.A. (Spain).

2

Solutions

All the solutions prepared from a solid powder were done by rigorous weighting to the required final concentration. Tris buffer solution pH 7.4 was made by tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethan-hydrochlorid 50 mM and trisodium citrate 20 mM. The pH was adjusted with sodium hydroxide. Tris buffer solutions of higher pH values were also adjusted with sodium hydroxide and of lower pH values with hydrogen chloride. Fluoresceinamine, 0.25, 0.50, 1.13, 1.50 and 2.00 mM, and cobalt chloride, 11.31 and 22.62 mM, solutions were prepared by dissolution of the powder in hydrogen chloride 0.1 M. The chemical reduction of fluoresceinamine was made as previous described [26] by addition of 1.4 mL of the fluoresceinamine solution, 14.0 mL of hydrogen chloride 0.1 M and 0.5 g of zinc powder in a 15 mL Falcon tube. The Falcon tube was posterior stirred until the fluorescence and the vellow colour disappeared. After centrifugation 5.0 mL of the obtained reduced fluoresceinamine solution were diluted with 10 mL of Tris buffer solution pH 7.4 and 4.7 mL sodium hydroxide 0.1 M. Diluted solutions of the reduced fluoresceinamine, 4.91, 9.81, 22.09, 29.45 and 39.27 µM, and cobalt chloride, 0.48 and 0.96 mM, solutions were done in the fluorescent cell.

Saturated NO solutions (1.9 mM) were prepared from a gas bottle with deoxygenated water after bubbling argon during 15 min. The peroxynitrite solutions were prepared in a refrigerated beaker under constant stirring by mixing 100 mL of NaNO₂ 600 mM, 100 mL of H₂O₂ 600 mM in HCl 0.6 M and 100 mL of NaOH 3.6 M. The solution will turn yellow indicating the formation of peroxynitrite. The agitation is maintained until no O₂ is formed. Standard and serum fortified ONOO⁻ and NO solutions were done in deoxygenated NaOH 0.1 M by rigorous dilution to the desired concentrations. For the serum a lower (19×) and a higher dilution (94×) were done. Macrophages fortified ONOO⁻ suspensions were done in a saline Tris buffer solution. The macrophages initially in the recommended culture medium (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium + L-Glutamine, 2 mM + Fetal Bovine Serum, 10 %) were centrifuged and posterior suspended in the saline Tris buffer solution.

Fig. 1 Proposed oxidation reaction equation of the reduced fluoresceinamine by ONOO⁻ (reduced fluoresceinamine chemical structure is adapted from ref. [26])



Reduced Fluoresceinamine



Fig. 2 UV-visible absorption and fluorescence emission spectra at excitation wavelength of 440 nm of the reduced and oxidized fluoresceinamine 10 μM

Instrumentation

The detection was done, in the experimental design optimization and in the standard solutions quantification, by an USB4000 and, in the samples quantification, by a QE65000 charge-coupled device using a 450 nm LED and a sampling compartment (CUV-ALL-UV 4-way) from Ocean Optics. Also two 1.0 mm core diameter fiber optics (P1000-2-UV–VIS) from Ocean Optics were used. One fiber to guide the light from the source to the sampling compartment and the other to guide the emitted light to the detector. The reaction time profiles were obtained collecting the signal at a wavelength of 518 nm, every 10 s with an integration time of 300 ms.

The Absorbance and the Fluorescence emission spectra were collected respectively in a Jasco V-530 UV-Visible spectrophotometer and in a Jasco FP-6200 spectrofluorimeter. The absorption spectra were obtained in a wavelength range from 250 to 600 nm with a 2 nm interval, slit with 2 nm and wavelength scan rate medium; the Fluorescence emission spectra were obtained in a wavelength range from 220 to 730 nm with a 1 nm interval, slit with 5 nm, sensitivity response medium, response time fast and wavelength scan rate 1000 nm/min.

The evaluations in the experimental design optimization, the quantification and the Absorbance and Fluorescence spectra were obtained in a standard 1 cm fluorescence quartz cell.

Experimental Design Optimization

In order to define the optimum regions, namely for ONOO⁻ maximum and for NO minimum fluorescence intensity, an evaluation of the significance of all the factors and of the possible interactions between the factors by screening fractional and full factorial designs, followed by an optimisation Box-Behnken design with the most significant factors was done [27, 28]. The set of experimental design variables evaluated was: (i) reduced fluoresceinamine concentration (C_{Fl}), (ii) presence of oxygen (O_2) (iii) cobalt chloride concentration (C_{CoCl2}), (iv) pH (*pH*) and (v) sodium hydroxide concentration (C_{NaOH}). The response variable analysed was the maximum fluorescence intensity for ONOO⁻ and the fluorescence intensity after 50" for NO.

The significance of the main effects and the variable interactions in screening fractional and full factorial designs were evaluated using higher orders interactions as comparison. When center samples are used a curvature checking of the response is done by the evaluation of the significance of the curvature trough a curvature test and of the main effects and the variable interactions. The two tests for the evaluation of the significance of the main effects and the variable interactions are presented respectively as HOIE (Higher Order Interactions Effects) and "Center" tests [29]. The evaluation of the significance of the main effects, the variable interactions, the global linear model, global quadratic model, quadratic effects and shape of the response surface in Box-Behnken optimisation design is done by analysis of variance (ANOVA) through the F-ratio and respective pvalue. Also the value of multiple correlation coefficients of the response variables is evaluated [28].

Results and Discussion

Figure 1 shows a hypothesis for the oxidation reaction equation based on the probably chemical structure of the

Table 1 Levels of design variables under investigation in the experimental designs in the evaluation of the reaction of reduced fluoresceinamine with $ONOO^{-}$ and $NO \ 10 \ \mu M$

Design variables	Abbreviation	Cube levels	Central level	
		Low	High	
Reduced fluoresceinamine (mM)	C_{Fl}	0.25	2	1.13
Oxygen	O_2	with	without	_
Cobalt chloride (mM)	C_{CoCl2}	without	22.62	11.31
рН	pH	6	8	7
Sodium hydroxide (M)	C _{NaOH}	1.00×10^{-6}	0.10	0.05

a)							
Fractional factorial expe	erimental design						
	$(R_{Multiple}=0.947)$						
Main / Interactions	HOIE	b					
	F-ratio (p-value)						
C_{Fl}	74.47 (0.00)	91.70					
O_2	1.96 (0.19)	-14.89					
C_{CoCl2}	5.58 (0.04)	-25.10					
pН	0.41 (0.54)	6.83					
C _{NaOH}	4.06 (0.07)	21.42					
b)							
Full factorial experiment	tal designs						
	pH 7.4, with oxygen			pH 7.4, without oxygen			
	(R _{Multiple} =0.989, CT	$=6.47 \times 10^{-3}$)		$(R_{Multiple}=0.995, CT=3.23 \times 10^{-4})$			
Main / Interactions	HOIE	Center	b	HOIE	Center	b	
	F-ratio (p-value)	F-ratio (p-value)		F-ratio (p-value)	F-ratio (p-value)		
C_{Fl}	24.05 (0.13)	103.47 (9.50×10 ⁻²)	123.37	50.61 (0.09)	$2.04 \times 10^3 (5.00 \times 10^{-4})$	101.99	
C_{CoCl2}	0.24 (0.71)	1.04 (0.42)	-12.34	4.58 (0.28)	184.10 (5.40×10 ⁻³)	-30.68	
C _{NaOH}	11.81 (0.18)	50.83 (0.02)	86.47	28.66 (0.12)	$1.15 \times 10^3 (9.00 \times 10^{-4})$	76.75	
$C_{Fl} \times C_{CoCl2}$	3.54 (0.18)	15.23 (0.06)	47.33	5.01 (0.27)	201.53 (4.90×10 ⁻³)	32.10	
$C_{Fl} \times C_{NaOH}$	2.45 (0.36)	10.53 (0.08)	39.35	2.17 (0.38)	87.17 (0.01)	21.11	
$C_{CoCl2} \times C_{NaOH}$	1.16 (0.48)	4.99 (0.16)	27.08	0.51 (0.60)	20.68 (0.05)	10.28	

Table 2 Results obtained by a fractional a) and a full factorial b) experimental designs in the evaluation of the reaction of reduced fluoresceinamine with ONOO⁻¹ 0 μ M

R_{Multiple} multiple correlation, *CT* probability value (p) for a 5 % significance level of a curvature test, *Main* main effects, *Interactions* interactions effects, *HOIE* High Order Interactions Effects test, *F-ratio* Fisher ratio, *Center* Center test, *b* beta-regression coefficient, *p-value* probability value (p) for a 5 % significance level

reduced fluoresceinamine [26] and Fig. 2 shows the absorbance and fluorescence emission spectra of the oxidized and reduced emission fluoresceinamine. As shown a negligible absorbance and emission of fluorescence is

Table 3 Results obtained by a fractional factorial experimental design in the evaluation of the reaction of reduced fluoresceinamine with NO 10 μM

Fractional factorial experimental design								
$(R_{Multiple}=0.816)$								
Main/ interactions	HOIE F-ratio (p-value)	b						
C_{Fl}	2.97 (0.18)	156.82						
O_2	2.95 (0.18)	-156.24						
C_{CoCl2}	$3.20 \times 10^{-2} (0.87)$	16.27						
pН	$1.46 \times 10^{-2} (0.91)$	-10.98						

 $R_{Multiple}$ multiple correlation, *Main* main effects, *Interactions* interactions effects, *HOIE* High Order Interactions Effects test, *F-ratio* Fisher ratio, *b* beta-regression coefficient, *p-value* probability value (p) for a 5 % significance level

observed for reduced fluoresceinamine. For the oxidized and reduced fluoresceinamine a maximum absorbance of 0.18 and 0.003 and a maximum fluorescence intensity of 744 and 5 were obtained. Both species have a maximum absorbance at 436 nm and a maximum fluorescence emission at 516 nm when excited at 440 nm. Also a shoulder at 550 nm is observed in the fluorescence emission spectrum which is more evident in the oxidized fluoresceinamine.

The levels of the factors (Table 1) and the concentration of NO and ONOO⁻ (10 μ M) evaluated in the experimental design methodologies were defined attending to a previous evaluation and to a practical constraints namely the solubility of fluoresceinamine and precipitation of fluoresceinamine at C_{NaOH} higher than 0.1 M. The presented concentrations correspond to the solutions prepared from

Fig. 3 Response surfaces of fluorescence intensity obtained with the potimization Box Behnken experimental design, through the reaction of reduced fluoresceinamine with ONOO⁻ 10 μ M at pH 7.4 with and without O₂, of (a) reduced fluoresceinamine concentration vs. cobalt chloride concentration (b) reduced fluoresceinamine concentration vs. sodium hydroxide concentration and (c) cobalt chloride concentration vs. sodium hydroxide concentration



the solid powder. In order to define the more adequate reaction conditions for the ONOO⁻ quantification in the presence of NO the same levels of the factors were evaluated by the screening factorial and optimisation Box-Behnken experimental designs.

Screening Factorial Experimental Designs

For the ONOO⁻ and NO initial screening 2^{5-1} fractional factorial designs were performed with sixteen experiments each - four continuous design variables and one category, each one with two levels and one response variable. With this design the two variable interactions are confounded with the three variable interactions. Also for the ONOO⁻, at pH 7.4 with and without oxygen, was also done a 2^3 full factorial with eleven experiments each - three continuous design variables, each one with two levels, three center samples and one response variable.

ONOO

In Table 2a are presented the results of the HOIE test found by a fractional experimental design in the evaluation of the significance of the main effects and in Table 2b the results of the HOIE and "center" tests found by a full factorial experimental designs in the evaluation of the significance of the main effects and interactions of the reaction of reduced fluoresceinamine with ONOO⁻ 10 μ M. A screening full factorial experimental design was done to evaluate the possible interactions and the possibility of using an optimization experimental design to evaluate regions of maximum and minimum fluorescence intensity.

A multiple correlation of 0.95 for the fractional and of 0.99 for the full factorial screening experimental designs was found. By the screening fractional factorial experimental design the most relevant is that the C_{Fl} (b=+91.70) and C_{NaOH} (b=+21.42) induce a statistically significant increase and the C_{CoCl2} (b=-25.10) induces a statistically significant

Table 4 ANOVA of the system response obtained for the fluorescent intensity using a Box Behnken experimental design in the evaluation of the reaction of reduced fluoresceinamine with $ONOO^{-}$ 10 μ M with oxygen at pH 7.4

 $R_{Multiple}$ Multiple correlation, SS sum of squares, *d.f.* degrees of freedom, *MS* mean squares, *F-ratio* Fisher ratio, *b* betaregression coefficient, (S.D.)_b standard deviation of b, *Main* main effects, *Int.* interactions effects, *Squ.* square effects, *p-value* probability value (p) for a 5 % significance level

ANOVA (R _{Multiple} =0.950)									
Effect	SS	d.f.	MS	F-ratio (p-value)	b	(S.E.) _b			
Model	1.928×10 ⁵	9	2.142×10^4	5.150 (0.043)					
Error	2.079×10^{4}	5	4.158×10^{3}						
Adjusted total	2.136×10^{5}	14	1.525×10^{4}						
Factor									
Intercept	7.380×10^{4}	1	7.380×10^{4}	17.747 (0.008)	156.845	37.231			
C_{Fl}	3.329×10^{4}	1	3.329×10^{4}	8.005 (0.037)	73.722	26.056			
C_{NaOH}	2.409×10^{4}	1	2.409×10^{3}	5.794 (0.061)	1.098×10^{3}	455.989			
C _{CoCl2}	1.156×10^{4}	1	1.156×10^{4}	2.779 (0.156)	-3.360×10^{3}	2.016×10^{3}			
$C_{Fl} \times C_{NaOH}$	7.702×10^{3}	1	7.702×10^{3}	1.852 (0.232)	-25.074	18.425			
$C_{Fl} \times C_{CoCl2}$	180.947	1	180.947	$4.351 \times 10^{-2}(0.843)$	3.843	18.425			
$C_{NaOH} \times C_{CoCl2}$	7.052	1	7.052	$1.696 \times 10^{-3}(0.969)$	0.759	18.425			
$C_{Fl} \times C_{Fl}$	1.074×10^{4}	1	1.074×10^{4}	2.582 (0.169)	-30.816	19.177			
$C_{NaOH} \times C_{NaOH}$	9.782×10^{4}	1	9.782×10^{4}	23.523 (0.005)	93.009	19.177			
$C_{CoCl2} \times C_{CoCl2}$	687.729	1	687.729	0.165 (0.701)	-7.799	19.177			
Model check									
Main	6.894×10^{4}	3	2.298×10^{4}						
Int.	7.890×10^{3}	3	2.630×10^{3}	0.632 (0.625)					
Int. + Squ.	1.159×10^{5}	3	3.864×10^{4}	9.293 (0.017)					
Squ.	1.159×10^{5}	3	3.864×10^{4}	9.293 (0.017)					
Error	2.079×10^{4}	5	4.158×10^{3}						
Lack of fit									
Lack of fit	1.834×10^{4}	3	6.113×10^{3}	4.985 (0.172)					
Pure error	2.452×10^{3}	2	1.226×10^{3}						
Total error	2.079×10^{4}	5	4.158×10^{3}						

decrease in the fluorescence intensity. The other two variables, O_2 and pH, provokes respectively a non-statistically decrease (b=-14.89) and increase (b=+6.83) of the fluorescence intensity.

Attending to the previous results two full factorial experimental designs were done with and without oxygen and the pH was fixed at 7.4 (physiological pH). By these experimental designs, and such as the found by the fractional factorial experimental design, the C_{FI} and C_{NaOH} induce an increase and the C_{CoCI2} induces a decrease in the fluorescence intensity. Opposite to that observed by the fractional factorial experimental design a non-significant statistically variation was found for the three variables. All the two-variable interactions are non-statistically significant. The most relevant conclusion with these two full factorial experimental designs is about the curvature of the response variable. The statistically significance of the curvature test (with oxygen, $p=6.47 \times 10^{-3}$; without oxygen, $p=3.23 \times 10^{-4}$) and

1133

of the "Center" test with oxygen for the C_{Fl} and C_{CoCl2} and without oxygen for all the main effects and interactions indicate that a maximum or a minimum could be found in a response surface evaluation by an optimization experimental design.

NO

In Table 3 are presented the results of the HOIE test found by a fractional experimental design in the evaluation of the significance of the main effects of the reaction of reduced fluoresceinamine with NO 10 μ M. A relatively low multiple correlation of 0.82 is found. All the main effects are non-statistically significant. The C_{Fl} (*b*=+156.82) and C_{CoCl2} (*b*=+16.27) induce an increase and the O_2 (*b*=-156.24) and the pH (*b*=-10.98) induce a decrease of the fluorescence intensity. Greater variations were found for the C_{Fl} and the O_2 variables.

Table 5 ANOVA of the system response obtained for the fluorescent intensity using a Box Behnken experimental design in the evaluation of the reaction of reduced fluoresceinamine with ONOO⁻ 10 μ M without oxygen at pH 7.4

Effect	SS	SS d.f. MS F-ratio (p-value)		F-ratio (p-value)	b	(S.E.) _b
Model	2.306×10 ⁵	9	2.562×10^{4}	11.181 (0.008)		
Error	1.146×10^{4}	5	2.292×10^{3}			
Adjusted total	2.421×10^{5}	14	1.729×10^{4}			
Factor						
Intercept	2.103×10^{4}	1	2.103×10^{4}	9.178 (0.029)	83.734	27.639
C_{Fl}	3.166×10^{4}	1	3.166×10^{4}	13.815 (0.014)	71.896	19.343
C_{NaOH}	2.812×10^{4}	1	2.812×10^{3}	12.270 (0.017)	1.186×10^{3}	338.510
C _{CoCl2}	2.029×10^{4}	1	2.029×10^{4}	8.852 (0.031)	-4.453×10^{3}	1.496×10^{3}
$C_{Fl} \times C_{NaOH}$	2.030×10^{3}	1	2.030×10^{3}	0.886 (0.390)	-12.873	13.678
$C_{Fl} \times C_{CoCl2}$	6.596	1	6.596	2.878×10^{-3} (0.959)	0.734	13.678
$C_{NaOH} \times C_{CoCl2}$	85.185	1	85.185	$3.717 \times 10^{-2} (0.855)$	2.637	13.678
$C_{Fl} \times C_{Fl}$	1.691×10^{3}	1	1.691×10^{3}	0.738 (0.430)	-12.229	14.236
$C_{CoCl2} \times C_{CoCl2}$	1.420×10^{5}	1	1.420×10^{5}	61.980 (0.001)	112.078	14.236
$C_{NaOH} \times C_{NaOH}$	3.802×10^{3}	1	3.802×10^{3}	1.659 (0.254)	18.336	13.678
Model check						
Main	8.007×10^{4}	3	2.669×10^{4}			
Int.	2.122×10^{3}	3	707.234	0.309 (0.819)		
Int. + Squ.	1.484×10^{5}	3	4.947×10^{4}	21.588 (0.003)		
Squ.	1.484×10^{5}	3	4.947×10^{4}	21.588 (0.003)		
Error	1.146×10^{4}	5	2.292×10^{3}			
Lack of fit						
Lack of fit	1.119×10^{4}	3	3.731×10^{3}	28.123 (0.034)		
Pure error	265.339	2	132.669			
Total error	1.146×10^{4}	5	2.292×10^{3}			

See footnote of Table 4

From the global analysis of the results it is possible to see that for ONOO⁻ the greater fluorescence intensity was found with O_2 , higher C_{Fl} , C_{NaOH} and pH and lower C_{CoCl2} ; for NO the lowest fluorescence intensity was found without O_2 , higher pH and lower C_{Fl} and C_{CoCl2} . Also the greater variations of fluorescence intensity were observed for ONOO⁻ with C_{Fl} , C_{CoCl2} and C_{NaOH} and for NO with C_{Fl} and O_2 . These results clearly suggest that the quantification of ONOO⁻ in the presence of NO it is favoured in the absence of O_2 , lower C_{CoCl2} and C_{Fl} and higher C_{NaOH} and pH.

Optimization Box Behnken Experimental Designs

Optimization Box Behnken experimental designs were performed for ONOO⁻ and NO with and without oxygen. For ONOO⁻ the pH was also fixed at 7.4. Each optimization experimental design was done with fifteen experiments three continuous design variables, each one with two levels, without repetitions, three centre samples and one response variable. ONOO

The ANOVA results of the ONOO⁻ optimization Box Behnken experimental designs are presented in Table 4 for the reaction in the presence of O_2 and in Table 5 for the reaction in the absence of O_2 .

The models including the variable interactions and the square effects with O_2 (p=0.043; $R_{Multiple}=0.950$) and without O_2 (p=0.008; $R_{Multiple}=0.976$) are globally statistically significant and adequately model the variation of the experimental data. For the two optimization experimental designs only the square effects contributes significantly for the model variation (with O_2 , p=0.017; without O_2 , p=0.003). The statistically significance of the square effects indicates that the shape of the response surfaces estimated by the model adequately corresponds to the shape of the response. The inclusion of the square effects shows with O_2 (p=0.172) a statistically significant lack of fit of the model. Without O_2 and only with the inclusion of the square effects (data don't shown) a global model (p=0.0001; $R_{Multiple}=0.972$),

Effect	SS	d.f.	MS	F-ratio (p-value)	b	(S.E.) _b
Model	2.533×10^{5}	9	2.815×10^{4}	8.916 (0.013)		
Error	1.579×10^{4}	5	3.157×10^{3}			
Adjusted total	2.691×10^{5}	14	1.922×10^{4}			
Factor						
Intercept	1.179×10^{4}	1	1.179×10^{4}	3.734 (0.111)	62.685	32.440
C_{Fl}	1.867×10^{5}	1	1.867×10^{5}	59.147 (6.00×10 ⁻⁴)	174.602	22.703
C CoCl2	4.312×10^{3}	1	4.312×10^{3}	1.366 (0.295)	-1.772×10^{3}	1.516×10^{3}
pН	1.597×10^{4}	1	1.597×10^{4}	5.060 (0.074)	44.686	19.865
$C_{Fl} \times C_{CoCl2}$	4.631×10^{3}	1	4.631×10^{3}	1.467 (0.280)	-19.444	16.054
$C_{Fl} \times pH$	3.295×10^{3}	1	3.295×10^{3}	1.044 (0.354)	16.402	16.054
$C_{CoCl2} \times pH$	2.711×10^{3}	1	2.711×10^{3}	0.859 (0.397)	-14.876	16.054
$C_{Fl} \times C_{Fl}$	2.161×10^{4}	1	2.161×10^{4}	6.844 (0.473)	43.713	16.709
$C_{CoCl2} \times C_{CoCl2}$	1.499×10^{4}	1	1.499×10^{4}	4.748 (0.081)	36.411	16.709
$pH \times pH$	443.489	1	443.489	0.140 (0.723)	-6.263	16.709
Model check						
Main	2.070×10^{5}	3	6.900×10^{4}			
Int.	1.064×10^{4}	3	3.546×10^{3}	1.123 (0.423)		
Int. + Squ.	3.567×10^{4}	3	1.189×10^{4}	3.767 (0.094)		
Squ.	3.567×10^{4}	3	1.189×10^{4}	3.767 (0.094)		
Error	1.579×10^{4}	5	3.157×10^{3}			
Lack of fit						
Lack of fit	1.519×10^{4}	3	5.062×10^{3}	16.879 (0.057)		
Pure error	599.786	2	299.893			
Total error	1.579×10^{4}	5	3.157×10^{3}			

Table 6 ANOVA of the system response obtained for the fluorescent intensity using a Box Behnken experimental design in the evaluation of the reaction of reduced fluoresceinamine with NO 10 μ M with oxygen

See footnote of Table 4

inclusion of square effects (p=0.0001) and lack of fit (p=0.058) with similarly responses surfaces were found.

From the results found by the optimization experimental designs the C_{Fl} (with O₂, p=0.008; without O₂, p=0.014) and C_{NaOH} (with O₂, p=0.061; without O₂, p=0.017) induces a statistically significant increase and the C_{CoCl2} induces with O₂ a statistically non-significant (p=0.156) and without O₂ a statistically significant decrease (p=0.031) of the fluorescent intensity. The interactions are statistically non-significant.

As shown in Fig. 3 a similar saddle shape was found for the reduced fluoresceinamine and cobalt chloride concentration vs. sodium hydroxide concentration response surfaces. In these response surfaces a clear region of minimum is defined and the region of maximum is outside the limits of the experimental region at higher C_{NaOH} , without CoCl₂ at a C_{Fl} from 0.5 to 2 mM. For the reduced fluoresceinamine concentration vs. cobalt chloride concentration a different shape was found with and without O₂. For this response surface with O₂ a clear region of maximum was found (C_{Fl} -0.9 to 2 mM; C_{CoCl2} – 0 to 1.142×10^{-2} M). The maximum for all these response surfaces are limited by the practical constraints as the solubility and precipitation of fluoresceinamine and zero C_{CoCL2}

From the global analysis of the response surfaces it was found for $ONOO^-$ at pH 7.4 with and without O_2 the following regions of fluorescence intensity:

- a) Maximum: C_{Fl} 0.50 to 2.00 mM; C_{CoCl2} 0 to 12.25 mM; C_{NaOH} 0.095 to 0.100 M.
- b) Minimum: C_{Fl} 0.25 to 0.50 mM, C_{CoCl2} 13.20 to 22.62 mM, C_{NaOH} 1.667×10⁻² to 6.667×10⁻² M.

NO

The ANOVA results of the NO optimization Box Behnken experimental designs are presented in Table 6 for the reaction in the presence of O_2 and in Table 7 for the reaction in the absence of O_2 . The models including the variable interactions and the square effects with O_2 (p=0.013; $R_{Multiple}=$ 0.970) and without O_2 (p=0.068; $R_{Multiple}=0.938$) are glob-

Effect	SS	d.f.	MS	F-ratio (p-value)	b	(S.E.) _b
Model	511.456	9	56.828	4.075 (0.068)		
Error	69.726	5	13.945			
Adjusted total	581.182	14	41.513			
Factor						
Intercept	86.162	1	86.162	6.179 (0.056)	5.359	2.156
C_{Fl}	147.984	1	147.984	10.612 (0.023)	4.915	1.509
C_{CoCl2}	103.262	1	103.262	7.405 (0.042)	274.254	100.785
pН	31.644	1	31.644	2.269 (0.192)	1.989	1.320
$C_{Fl} \times C_{CoCl2}$	16.341	1	16.341	1.172 (0.329)	1.155	1.067
$C_{Fl} \times pH$	129.535	1	129.535	9.289 (0.029)	3.252	1.067
$C_{CoCl2} \times pH$	11.662	1	11.662	0.836 (0.402)	0.976	1.067
$C_{Fl} \times C_{Fl}$	47.012	1	47.012	3.371 (0.126)	2.039	1.111
$C_{CoCl2} \times C_{CoCl2}$	18.251	1	18.251	1.309 (0.304)	-1.270	1.111
$pH \times pH$	1.206	1	1.206	$8.651 \times 10^{-2}(0.781)$	0.327	1.111
Model check						
Main	282.890	3	94.297			
Int.	157.538	3	52.513	3.766 (0.094)		
Int. + Squ.	71.028	3	23.676	1.698 (0.282)		
Squ.	71.028	3	23.676	1.698 (0.282)		
Error	69.726	5	13.945			
Lack of fit						
Lack of fit	26.251	3	8.750	0.403 (0.769)		
Pure error	43.475	2	21.737			
Total error	69.726	5	13.945			

Table 7 ANOVA of the system response obtained for the fluorescent intensity using a Box Behnken experimental design in the evaluation of the reaction of reduced fluoresceinamine with NO 10 μM without oxygen

See footnote of Table 4

ally statistically significant and adequately model the variation of the experimental data. For the optimization experimental designs with O₂ the square effects (p=0.094) and without O₂ are the interactions (p=0.094) that contributes more for the model variation. Even so the inclusion of the square effects shows with O₂ a statistically significant (p= 0.057) and without O₂ a statistically non-significant (p= 0.769) lack of fit of the model.

From the results found by the optimization experimental designs the C_{Fl} (with O₂, $p=6.000 \times 10^{-4}$; without O₂, p=0.023) induces a statistically significant increase in the two optimization experimental designs. Beside this with O₂ the pH (p=0.074) and without O₂ the C_{CoCl2} (p=0.042) are statistically significant. Also a statistically significant interaction between C_{Fl} and the pH (p=0.029) is found by the NO experimental design without O₂.

As shown in Fig. 4 a different shape was found with and without O_2 for the three response surfaces. For all the response surfaces evaluated, regions of minimum fluorescence intensity are clearly defined. Also the regions of maximum are outside the limits of the experimental region at higher C_{Fl} and pH from 7 to 8 without CoCl₂ in the presence of O_2 and at C_{CoCl2} from 11.70 to 22.62 mM in the absence of O_2 .

From the global analysis of the response surfaces it was found for NO with and without O_2 the following regions of fluorescence intensity:

- a) Maximum: C_{Fl} 1.6 to 2 mM; C_{CoCl2} 11.70 to 22.62 mM; pH 7 to 8.
- b) Minimum: C_{Fl} 0.25 to 1.56 mM; C_{CoCl2} 0 to 22.62 mM; pH 6 to 8.

Peroxynitrite Quantification

Attending to the regions of maximum fluorescence intensity for the ONOO⁻ and of minimum fluorescence intensity for the NO the quantification of ONOO⁻ was performed with a C_{Fl} 0.50 mM and 1.45 mM, pH 7.4, without CoCl₂ and C_{NaOH} 0.1 M with and without O₂. In Fig. 5 are presented typical response profiles of ONOO⁻ and NO. Tables 8 and 9 present, respectively, the quantification results obtained with different standard solutions of ONOO⁻ and NO, from 0 to 5 µM found by the reaction with C_{Fl} of 0.50 and 1.45 mM in the presence and in the absence of O₂, and those obtained in serum, in the absence of O₂, and macrophages, in the presence of O₂, fortified samples by the reaction with C_{Fl} of 0.50 mM.

From the evaluation of the typical response profiles (Fig. 5) it is possible to see that for ONOO⁻ a similar and for NO a different response profile was obtained for the two C_{Fl} with and without O₂. As expected an always lower

Fig. 4 Response surfaces of fluorescence intensity obtained with the optimization Box Behnken experimental design, through the reaction of reduced fluoresceinamine with NO 10 μ M with and without O₂, of (a) reduced fluoresceinamine concentration vs. cobalt chloride concentration (b) reduced fluoresceinamine concentration vs. pH and (c) cobalt chloride concentration vs. pH

fluorescence intensity was obtained for ONOO⁻ and NO with a C_{Fl} 0.50 mM. A minor difference was obtained for ONOO⁻ and a greater difference for NO. For each C_{Fl} it was obtained for ONOO⁻ with and without O₂ a similar fluorescence intensity and for NO without O₂ a lower fluorescence intensity next to zero. It is also clear from this evaluation that for a quantification of ONOO⁻ in the presence of NO better results could be found without O₂, a C_{Fl} 0.5 and 1.45 mM and with O₂ at a C_{Fl} 0.5 M. A greater NO interference is found with the time and with higher C_{Fl} .

In Table 8 it is possible to see that generally better quantification results were found with C_{Fl} 0.5 M in the presence and in the absence of O₂. Slightly higher results were found with C_{Fl} 1.45 M without O₂, namely when the NO concentration is higher than the ONOO⁻ concentration, and as expected worse results were found with C_{Fl} 1.45 M with O₂. Generally worse quantification results were obtained with the two C_{Fl} with and without O₂ for ONOO⁻ 1 μ M with a higher concentration of NO (2.5 and 5 μ M). It is important to stress that some slightly lower quantification results could be explained by the difficult management of the preparation of standard solutions namely of lowest concentrations.

By the analysis of all the linear fit obtained in the range from 1 to 10 μ M with four calibration points it was found for C_{Fl} 0.5 mM without O₂ the greater correlation coefficient (*R*=0.9991), the lowest sensitivity (*a*=24.03) with a low detection limit (DL_{blank}=0.15 μ M) and for C_{Fl} 0.5 mM with O₂ the lowest correlation coefficient (*R*=0.9811), a higher sensitivity (*a*=34.36) with also lower detection limit (DL_{blank}=0.10 μ M). With C_{Fl} 0.5 mM without O₂ and detection with the QE65000 a linear range from 0.25 to 40 μ M and a DL of 0.08 μ M were found with the following linear fit parameters:

$$\begin{split} y &= 2.63 x + 5.36, m = 9, s_a = 1.75, s_b = 0.10, s_{y/x} \\ &= 3.94, R = 0.9954. \end{split}$$

In Table 9 it is possible to see that good quantification results were found for the serum and for the macrophages samples with C_{Fl} 0.5 M. The quantification results for the lower and higher serum sample dilution were obtained respectively with and without subtraction of the serum fluorescence background. For the serum samples good quantification results were found with a higher dilution. Also better quantification results for the two dilutions were









 $C_{c_{o_{C_{i_{z}}}}(M)}$

0,005

Without O,

18 16

14

12 10



6,4



1.8

1,2 0,9 Crima

0,6

0,3

0,000

🖄 Springer



Fig. 5 Typical response profiles of ONOO⁻ and NO 5 μ M by the reaction with reduced fluoresceinamine (a) 0.50 and (b) 1.45 mM with or without oxygen, at pH 7.4, without cobalt chloride and sodium hydroxide concentration 0.1 M

obtained with the lowest ONOO⁻ concentrations. The scavenge capacity of some serum components explains the generally lower recovery found with a smaller serum sample dilution. For the macrophage sample and, as expected due to scattering, only with a suspension of 2.5×10^5 cells was possible to obtain good quantification results.

Conclusions

The optimization experimental design methodologies based in a response surface methodology allows to clearly defining optimum experimental regions of the different experimental variables involved in order to a correct quantification of the ONOO⁻ in the presence of NO. Different regions of maximum and minimum fluorescence intensity were evaluated for the ONOO⁻ and NO.

For ONOO⁻ at pH 7.4 maximum region: C_{Fl} - 0.50 to 2.00 mM, C_{CoCl2} - 0 to 12.25 mM, C_{NaOH} - 0.095 to 0.100 M; minimum region: C_{Fl} - 0.25 to 0.50 mM, C_{CoCl2} - 13.20 to 22.62 mM, C_{NaOH} - 1.667×10⁻² to 6.667×10⁻² M. For NO maximum region: C_{Fl} - 1.6 to 2 mM, C_{CoCl2} - 11.70 to 22.62 mM, pH - 7 to 8; minimum region: C_{Fl} - 0.25 to 1.56 mM, C_{CoCl2} - 0 to 22.62 mM, pH - 6 to 8.

The most significant experimental variable that increases the fluorescent intensity is C_{Fl} . Without O₂ for ONOO⁻ a significant decrease and for NO a significant increase of the fluorescent intensity was found with C_{CoCl2} .

The optimum region in order to the quantification of ONOO⁻ in the presence of NO is defined by a C_{Fl} from 0.50 to 1.56 mM, C_{CoCl2} from 0 to 12.52 mM, pH from 6 to 8 and C_{NaOH} 0.10 M. The best quantification results were found with pH 7.4, C_{Fl} 0.5 mM, without oxygen, without

	C_{Fl} 0.50 mM				<i>C_{Fl}</i> 1.45 mM				
	Without O ₂		With O ₂		Without O ₂		With O ₂		
$C_{NO}:C_{ONOO}$ (μ M)	C_{ONOO} =±1.27	Recovery (%)	C_{ONOO} =±2.86	Recovery (%)	C_{ONOO} ±5.12	Recovery (%)	C_{ONOO} =±3.92	Recovery (%)	
0:1	0.98	98.17	0.72	71.95	0.58	56.10	0.93	93.45	
2.5:1	0.60	60.13	0.60	75.59	0.73	73.01	0.78	78.75	
5:1	0.63	63.16	1.15	115.49	n.p.	n.p.	1.01	101.01	
0:2.5	2.42	96.90	2.30	92.04	2.35	94.26	2.67	107.03	
1:2.5	2.20	88.06	2.44	97.59	2.49	99.81	4.21	168.57	
5:2.5	2.50	99.97	2.58	101.86	4.98	199.57	6.91	276.20	
0:5	4.99	99.79	5.32	106.46	5.02	100.45	5.07	101.42	
1:5	4.84	96.87	5.12	102.46	4.85	96.94	5.33	106.58	
2.5:5	4.30	86.02	4.92	98.38	6.02	120.30	8.57	171.38	
$y=bx+a, 1-10 \ \mu M$	(<i>m</i> =4)								
	$a=36.87; s_a=4$	1.16	$a=45.27; s_a=2$	2.29	$a=43.42; s_a=4$	1.24	$a=29.30; s_a=1$	0.26	
	$b=24.02; s_b=0$	0.72	$b=34.36; s_{\rm b}=6.51$		$b=34.40; s_{b}=24.38$		$b=37.20; s_{b}=1.41$		
	s _{y/x} =4.95; R=	0.9991	$s_{y/x} = 8.63; R =$	0.9811	s _{v/x} =28.97; <i>R</i> =0.9851		$s_{y/x} = 24.77; R = 0.9906$		
	DL _{blank} =0.15	μΜ	DL _{blank} =0.10	μΜ	DL _{blank} =0.41	μΜ	DL _{blank} =0.18 µM		

Table 8 – ONOO⁻ quantification results obtained in ONOO⁻ and NO standard solutions found by the reaction of reduced fluoresceinamine 0.50 and 1.45 mM in the presence and in the absence of O_2

n.p. quantification not possible, *m* number of calibration points, s_a slope standard deviation, s_b intercept standard deviation, $s_{y/x}$ standard deviation of residuals, *R* linear correlation coefficient, DL_{blank} detection limit estimated by the standard deviation of five determinations of a blank

	Serum (without	t O ₂)			Macrophage cells (with O ₂)			
	Lower dilution (19×)		Higher dilution (94×)		С _{олоо} - (5 µМ)			
$C_{NO}:C_{ONOO}$ (μ M)	C_{ONOO} ±0.59	Recovery (%)	C_{ONOO} ± 3.60	Recovery (%)	Number of cells	C_{ONOO} ±3.43	Recovery (%)	
5:1	1.03	103.00	1.06	106.08	2.5×10^{5}	4.73	94.61	
1:2.5	2.26	90.54	2.40	96.00	5.0×10^{5}	3.75	75.04	
1:5	4.06	81.20	4.68	93.60	1.0×10^{6}	2.54	50.73	
y=bx+a								
	$a=4.44; s_a=0.2$.8	$a=5.07; s_a=1.2$.9	$a=5.10; s_a=1.76$			
	$b=2.58; s_{b}=0.05$		$b=2.20; s_{b}=0.14$		$b=2.73; s_b=0.19$			
	s _{v/x} =0.42; <i>R</i> =0.9994		s _{v/x} =2.29; <i>R</i> =0.9901		s _{y/x} =3.12; <i>R</i> =0.9908			
	<i>m</i> =5; 0.5–10 μ	М	<i>m</i> =6; 0.5–20 μ	М	<i>m</i> =6; 0.5–20 μM			

Table 9 ONOO⁻ quantification results in serum and macrophage fortified solutions respectively in the presence and in the absence of NO found by the reaction of reduced fluoresceinamine 0.50 mM

m number of calibration points, s_a slope standard deviation, s_b intercept standard deviation, $s_{y/x}$ standard deviation of residuals, *R* linear correlation coefficient

cobalt chloride and with a previous dilution of peroxynitrite solution with C_{NaOH} 0.1 M. In these conditions a linear range from 0.25 to 40 μ M with a limit of detection of the method of 0.08 μ M was obtained.

The ONOO⁻ quantification was achieved with a lower diluted serum samples and lowest ONOO⁻ concentrations and with macrophage samples with a number of 2.5×10^5 cells.

Acknowledgments Financial support from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT, Lisbon), Programa Operacional Temático Factores de Competitividade (COMPETE) e comparticipado pelo Fundo Comunitário Europeu (FEDER) Project PTDC/QUI/71001/2006 is acknowledged. Also the NO gas and the macrophages cells for the experiences are acknowledged respectively to Rui Barbosa and to Teresa Diniz and Sónia Pereira.

References

- Valko M, Leibfritz D, Moncola J, Cronin MTD, Mazura M, Telser J (2007) Free radicals and antioxidants in normal physiological functions and human disease. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 39:44–84
- Ferrer-Sueta G, Radi R (2009) Chemical biology of peroxynitrite: kinetics, diffusion, and radicals. ACS Chem Biol 4:161–177
- Radi R, Peluffo G, Alvarez MN, Naviliat M, Cayota A (2001) Unraveling peroxynitrite formation in biological systems. Free Radical Biol Med 30:463–488
- Ducrocq C, Blanchard B, Pignatelli B, Ohshima H (1999) Peroxynitrite: an endogenous oxidizing and nitrating agent. Cell Mol Life Sci 55:1068–1077
- Tarpey MM, Wink DA, Grisham MB (2004) Methods for detection of reactive metabolites of oxygen and nitrogen: in vitro and in vivo considerations. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 286: R431–R444

- Tarpey MM, Fridovich I (2001) Methods of detection of vascular reactive species nitric oxide, superoxide, hydrogen peroxide, and peroxynitrite. Circ Res 89:224–236
- Radabaugh MR, Nemirovskiy OV, Misko TP, Aggarwal P, Mathews WR (2008) Immunoaffinity liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry detection of nitrotyrosine in biological fluids: development of a clinically translatable biomarker. Anal Biochem 380:68–76
- Glebska J, Koppenol WH (2003) Peroxynitrite-mediated oxidation of dichlorodihydrofluorescein and dihydrorhodamine. Free Radical Biol Med 35:676–682
- Crow JP (1997) Dichlorodihydrofluorescein and Dihydrorhodamine 123 are sensitive indicators of peroxynitrite in vitro: implications for intracellular measurement of reactive nitrogen and oxygen species. Nitric Oxide 1:145–157
- Nakahara R, Fujimoto T, Doi M, Morita K, Yamaguchi T, Fujita Y (2008) Fluorophotometric determination of hydrogen peroxide and other reactive oxygen species with fluorescein hydrazide (FH) and its crystal structure. Chem Pharm Bull 56:977–981
- Hempel SL, Buettner GR, O'Malley YQ, Wessels DA, Flaherty DM (1999) Dihydrofluorescein diacetate is superior for detecting intracellular oxidants: comparison with 2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate, 5(and 6)-carboxy-2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate, and dihydrorhodamine 123. Free Radical Biol Med 27:146–159
- Setsukinai K, Urano Y, Kakinuma K, Majima HJ, Nagano T (2003) Development of novel fluorescence probes that can reliably detect reactive oxygen species and distinguish specific species. J Biol Chem 278:3170–3175
- Keller A, Mohamed A, Dröse S, Brandt U, Fleming I, Brandesa RP (2004) Analysis of dichlorodihydrofluorescein and dihydrocalcein as probes for the detection of intracellular reactive oxygen species. Free Radical Res 38:1257–1267
- 14. Yang D, Wang H, Sun Z, Chung N, Shen J (2006) A highly selective fluorescent probe for the detection and imaging of peroxynitrite in living cells. J Am Chem Soc 128:6004–6005
- Yang X, Guo X, Zhao Y (2002) Development of a novel rhodamine-type fluorescent probe to determine peroxynitrite. Talanta 57:883–890
- Ueno T, Urano Y, Kojima H, Nagano T (2006) Mechanism-based molecular design of highly selective fluorescence probes for nitrative stress. J Am Chem Soc 128:10640–10641

- Sun Z, Wang H, Liu F, Chen Y, Kwong P, Tam H, Yang D (2009) BODIPY-Based fluorescent probe for peroxynitrite detection and imaging in living cells. Org Lett 11:1887–1890
- Tsikas D (2011) GC-MS and HPLC methods for peroxynitrite (ONOO and O¹⁵NOO⁻) analysis: a study on stability, decomposition to nitrite and nitrate, laboratory synthesis, and formation of peroxynitrite from Snitrosoglutathione (GSNO) and KO₂. Analyst 136:979–987
- Amatore C, Arbault S, Bouton C, Drapier J, Ghandour H, Koh ACW (2008) Real-time amperometric analysis of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species released by single immunostimulated macrophages. ChemBioChem 9:1472–1480
- 20. Amatore C, Arbault S, Bouton C, Coffi K, Drapier J, Ghandour H, Tong Y (2006) Monitoring in real time with a microelectrode the release of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species by a single macrophage stimulated by its membrane mechanical depolarization. ChemBioChem 7:653–661
- Hulvey MK, Frankenfeld CN, Lunte SM (2010) Separation and detection of peroxynitrite using microchip electrophoresis with amperometric detection. Anal Chem 82:1608–1611
- 22. Frankenfeld CN, Rosenbaugh MR, Fogarty BA, Lunte SM (2006) Separation and detection of peroxynitrite and its metabolites by capillary electrophoresis with UV detection. J Chromatogr A 1111:147–152

- 23. Huang J, Li D, Diao J, Hou J, Yuan J, Zou G (2007) A novel fluorescent method for determination of peroxynitrite using folic acid as a probe. Talanta 72:1283–1287
- Liang J, Liu Z, Cai R (2005) A novel method for determination of peroxynitrite based on hemoglobin catalyzed reaction. Anal Chim Acta 530:317–324
- 25. Martin-Romero FJ, Gutiérrez-Martin Y, Henao F, Gutiérrez-Merino C (2004) fluorescence measurements of steady state peroxynitrite production upon SIN-1 decomposition: NADH versus dihydrodichlorofluorescein and dihydrorhodamine 123. J Fluoresc 14:17–23
- Duarte AJ, Esteves da Silva JCG (2010) Reduced fluoresceinamine as a fluorescent sensor for nitric oxide. Sensors 10:1661– 1669
- Bezerra MA, Santelli RE, Oliveira EP, Villar LS, Escaleira LA (2008) Response surface methodology (RSM) as a tool for optimization in analytical chemistry. Talanta 76:965–977
- Lundstedt T, Seifert E, Abramo L, Thelin B, Nyström Å, Pettersen J, Bergman R (1998) Experimental design and optimization. Chemom Intell Lab Syst 42:3–40
- Massart DL, Vandeginste BGM, Buydens LMC, Jong SD, Lewi PG, Smeyers-Verbeke J (1997) Handbook of Chemometrics and Qualimetrics: Part A data handling in science and technology, vol 20 A. Elsevier, Amesterdam, pp 643–738